

### Detecting 802.11 Wireless Hosts from Remote Passive Observations

Based on paper by Valeria Baiamonte, Konstantina Papagiannaki, and Gianluca lannaccone

Presented by Daniel Banky Faculty of Informatics Eötvös University, Budapest





#### Detecting 802.11 Wireless Hosts from Remote Passive Observations

#### (1)Introduction

(2) Extracting a Wireless Signature

(3) Experimental Scenario

- Spectral Analysis
- Sample Entropy

![](_page_1_Figure_7.jpeg)

(4) Detection Algorithm

(5)Evaluation

(6)Conclusion

![](_page_2_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Detecting 802.11 Wireless Hosts from Remote Passive Observations

#### (1)Introduction

(2) Extracting a Wireless Signature

(3) Experimental Scenario

- Spectral Analysis
- Sample Entropy

(4)Detection Algorithm (5)Evaluation

(6)Conclusion

![](_page_2_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### LANs in nowadays

- Hybrid local area networks
  - with wired and wireless clients
- Network access through 802.11
  - increased mobility
  - lower throughput, harder latency
  - unpredictable nature of the wireless medium

![](_page_3_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_9.jpeg)

Introduction

![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Aim of the research

 Characterizing and studying wireless traffic

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

• Highlighting essential differences and analogies with wire-line traffic

![](_page_4_Figure_5.jpeg)

Question: Are there any techniques to detect 802.11 wireless hosts?

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Passive identification**

• They looked into the problem of passive identification of wireless clients

![](_page_5_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Observing at a location inside a network where a diverse set of client traffic is aggregated
- The observed clients use TCP/UDP protocols

![](_page_5_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### What can we expect?

- "Uncertainty" of wireless traffic
  - unique signature
  - distinguish wireless form wired

Depend on:

- channel access mechanism
  - shared radio medium
- channel conditions
- link quality

![](_page_6_Figure_10.jpeg)

Introduction

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Detecting 802.11 Wireless Hosts from Remote Passive Observations

#### (1)Introduction

(2) Extracting a Wireless Signature

(3) Experimental Scenario

- Spectral Analysis
- Sample Entropy

![](_page_7_Figure_7.jpeg)

(4) Detection Algorithm

(5)Evaluation

(6)Conclusion

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

Extracting

a Wireless

**Signature** 

#### The aim

- Capturing the physical layer "signature" in a traffic flow through the inspection of the packet interarrival times
- We exploit two main features that a-priori differentiates wired from wireless access:
  - the unpredictability of the wireless medium
  - the impact of the 802.11 medium access control (MAC) mechanism

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Conjecture

Chapter 2

Extracting a Wireless Signature Conjecture: These mechanisms are bound to random delays in the transmission of packets from a wireless host

- Shared radio medium
  - Packet loss, retransmit packet, delaying the packet delivery at the receiver
  - Client needs to contend for channel access

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

Extracting

a Wireless

**Signature** 

#### **Measurement and problems**

Create constant bit rate (CBR) flows

- using wired medium
- using wireless medium

Problems with the purely periodic data

- highly loaded host
- network congestion
- multiplexing
- Not periodic traffic stream (like VoIP)
- They used
  - controlled experiments
  - periodic traffic

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Detecting 802.11 Wireless Hosts from Remote Passive Observations

#### (1)Introduction

(2) Extracting a Wireless Signature

#### (3) Experimental Scenario

- Spectral Analysis
- Sample Entropy

![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

(5)Evaluation

(6)Conclusion

![](_page_11_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

**Experimental** 

**Scenario** 

### **Generating traffic**

- Generating traffic flows from wired and wireless clients to destinations outside the LAN
- tg Traffic Generator Tool
  - http://www.postel.org/tg/
- UDP/TCP traffic streams
  - UDP: CBR of 1.6 Mbps
    - 1000 byte packets sent every 5 ms
  - TCP: bulk transfer of large files
- Test uses ethernet interface and 802.11b wireless NIC
- The collection point is at the edge of the private LAN

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

3

**Scenario** 

**Experimental** 

#### **Wireless experiments 1**

- Good
  - 1 client
  - good location
  - no channel contention
  - signal level: -43 dBm
- G-Cong
  - 3 clients
  - good locations
  - contention for channel access
  - signal level: -43 dBm

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

3

**Scenario** 

**Experimental** 

#### **Wireless experiments 2**

- Bad
  - 1 client
  - bad location
  - no channel contention
  - signal level: -65 dBm
- Bad-Cong
  - 3 clients
  - bad locations
  - contention for channel access
  - signal level: -65 dBm

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

Scenario

### **Spectral Analysis**

• The purpose of this analysis is to investigate how the physical layer characteristics influence the explicit or implicit periodicity of a traffic flow

**Experimental** • Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT)

- Fast Fourier Transform (FFT)
- Processing of packet interarrival times
- Process of packet arrivals:

$$x[n] = \begin{cases} 1, nT_0 \leq t_{arr} < (n+1)T \\ \cdot, elsewhere \end{cases}$$

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

**Experimental** 

**Scenario** 

#### Fourier transformed signal

- Discrete time interval: [nT<sub>0</sub>, (n+1)T<sub>0</sub>]
  - overlapping problem
- Packet arrivals are never spaced by less than 20µs

- Resolution of frequency domain:
  - $f_0 = 1/T_0 (= 50 \text{ KHz})$
- Observation window: NT<sub>0</sub>
- Fourier transformed signal:

$$X[kf_{0}] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} x[nT_{0}]e^{-j2\pi \frac{nk}{N}}$$

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Cumulative Power Spectrum**

• DFT power spectrum P[n]:

 $P[kf_0] = |X[kf_0]|^2$ 

 Cumulative Power Spectrum (CPS) is use to compare different power spectra

• It is normalized to the total power of the signal as

$$C(n) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} P[kf_0]}{\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |X[kf_0]|^2}, 0 \le n < N$$

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

**Experimental** 

**Scenario** 

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

### CPS of UDP wired and wireless CBR flows are computed

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

### CPS of TCP wired and wireless CBR flows are computed

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **DFT Power Spectrum of TCP (wired)**

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **DFT Power Spectrum of TCP (wireless)**

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Behaviour of TCP traffic**

Original traffic stream is not periodic
periodicity distortion

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Analysing those parts of the traffic streams where packets are sent in a back to back fashion
  - network effects are more visible

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

- Dropping all the big interarrival times exceeding a certain threshold, T<sub>RTT</sub>.
- Choosing a big T<sub>RTT</sub> := 10 ms

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

**Experimental** 

Scenario

### Sample entropy

- DFT methodology
  - computationally expensive
  - difficult to be incorporated within an automated algorithm
  - **Empirical entropy** 
    - because of empirical distributions
- Empirical entropy of interarrival time distribution:

$$H(x) := -\sum_{x_i \in X} p(x_i) \log_2 p(x_i), p(x_i) = \frac{m_i}{m}$$

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Empirical entropy**

- Empirical entropy values computed on the same distribution can be different
  - depend on time scales to discretize the sample
- Experimental Scenario
- Bin size to compute Probability Mass Function (PMF): 100µs
- Computing the entropy of the PMF of packet interarrivals across time

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Variance of interarrival packet time (UDP)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Variance of interarrival packet time (TCP)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Entropy of interarrival packet time (UDP)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Entropy of interarrival packet time (TCP)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Detecting 802.11 Wireless Hosts from Remote Passive Observations

#### (1)Introduction

(2) Extracting a Wireless Signature

(3) Experimental Scenario

- Spectral Analysis
- Sample Entropy

#### (4) Detection Algorithm

(5)Evaluation

(6)Conclusion

![](_page_29_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

Chapter 4 Detection

Algorithm

#### Algorithm to classify

- Within each IP-source set, 5-tuple flows are then isolated
- Interarrival times between consecutive packets are computed and then filtered: only those, falling below T<sub>RTT</sub>, are kept
- Computing:
  - the empirical entropy H<sub>IP</sub> evaluated on the whole IP-source aggregated traces
  - the empirical entropy of the largest 5-tuple flow of each IP-source trace, H<sub>IP,5</sub>
- Variation of Entropy:  $\Delta H = H_{IP} H_{IP.5}$

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Pseudo code of the algorithm

Chapter

Detection Algorithm If  $H_{IP} \leq H_{lower}$ then the host is wired else if  $H_{IP} \geq H_{upper}$ then the host is wireless else if  $H_{lower} < HIP < H_{upper}$ if  $\Delta H \geq \Delta H_{THR}$ then the host is wired else if  $\Delta H \leq \Delta H_{THR}$ then the host is wireless

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

- H<sub>lower</sub> = 3.5 bits
- H<sub>upper</sub> = 5 bits
- H<sub>THR</sub> = 0.5 bit

#### **Probability Mass Function of Entropy**

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Detecting 802.11 Wireless Hosts from Remote Passive Observations

#### (1)Introduction

(2) Extracting a Wireless Signature

(3) Experimental Scenario

- Spectral Analysis
- Sample Entropy

(4) Detection Algorithm

(5)Evaluation

(6)Conclusion

![](_page_33_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Evaluation**

### Measured on 93 hosts in a laboratory 27 WLAN hosts

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Detecting 802.11 Wireless Hosts from Remote Passive Observations

#### (1)Introduction

(2) Extracting a Wireless Signature

(3) Experimental Scenario

- Spectral Analysis
- Sample Entropy

(4) Detection Algorithm

(5)Evaluation

(6)Conclusion

![](_page_35_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

6

Conclusion

#### **Related works**

- Bayesian inference algorithm
  - estimating and classifying whether TCP flows have traversed an 802.11 WLAN
  - time intervals occurs between TCP-ACK pairs and infers the connection type of a user
  - identification is possible only for clients that are employing the TCP protocol
  - making an inefficient use of traces

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Bayesian Inference Algorithm vs. Presented Algorithm

- Considers inter-packet pairs
  - so it is faster

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

- UDP and TCP
  - with time distance below 10ms

![](_page_37_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Conclusion

- I have presented a method to classify wired and wireless hosts only based on passive traffic observations at a remote location
- Conclusion

6

- The accuracy error reaches 1%-6%
  - In the future this methodology will be tested on larger datasets

![](_page_38_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Detecting 802.11 Wireless Hosts from Remote Passive Observations

Based on paper by Valeria Baiamonte, Konstantina Papagiannaki, and Gianluca lannaccone

Presented by Daniel Banky Faculty of Informatics Eötvös University, Budapest

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)