

Case 1: $q_{M+1} = 0$

Let p_i be the probability that i tried to transmit at this step.

$$p_i = \begin{cases} 2^{-b_i} & : 1 \leq i \leq M \\ \lambda_i & : M + 1 \leq i \leq N \end{cases}$$

Let $T = \prod_{i=1}^N (1 - p_i)$

$$\epsilon_i = \frac{p_i}{1 - p_i}$$

$$Q_i^+ = C\lambda_i.$$

Since the i th station transmits successfully with probability $T\epsilon_i$: $Q_i^- = CT\epsilon_i$.

The i th station fails to transmits successfully with probability $(1 - \frac{T}{1-p_i})p_i$. For $i \leq M$,

$$B_i^+ = (1 - \frac{T}{1-p_i})p_i(2^{b_i+1} - 2^{b_i}) = 1 - T(1 + \epsilon_i)$$

For $i > M$

$$B_i^+ = (1 - \frac{T}{1-p_i})\lambda_i = \lambda_i - T\epsilon_i$$

For $i \leq M$

$$B_i^- = \frac{T}{1-p_i}p_i(2^{b_i} - 2^0) = T$$

For $i > M$, $B_i^- = 0$.

We want $E[\Delta\Phi(t)] = C\lambda - CT \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i + M - MT - T \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i + \sum_{i=M+1}^N \lambda_i - MT \geq \delta$

Set $\lambda > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2C} + \frac{\delta}{C}$, then we need to show that

$$N + M \geq T(2N \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i + 2M)$$

Since

$$T = \prod_{i=1}^N (1 - p_i) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N (1 + \epsilon_i)}$$

we need to show

$$(N + M) \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + \epsilon_i) \geq 2N \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i + 2M$$

Case 1: $\sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i \geq 1$

$$(N + M) \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + \epsilon_i) \geq (N + M) \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i \geq 2N \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i + 2M$$

Case 2: $\sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i < 1$

$$(N + M) \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + \epsilon_i) \geq 2N \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i + (1 + \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i)M + (1 - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i)N \geq 2N \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i + 2M$$

Technical Lemma

Lemma 1. *If $0 \leq \epsilon_i \leq 1$ then*

$$\prod_{i=1}^N (1 + \epsilon_i) \geq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^N (1 + \epsilon_i) \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i$$

Proof.

$$\prod_{i=1}^N (1 + \epsilon_i) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^N (1 - \epsilon_i) \geq 0$$

□

Case 2: $q_{M+1} \neq 0$

Station $M + 1$ succeeds with probability

$$W = \prod_{i=1}^M (1 - 2^{-b_i}) \prod_{i=M+2}^N (1 - \lambda_i)$$

As in case 1, $Q_i^+ = (2N - 1)\lambda_i$

$$Q_i^- = \begin{cases} (2N - 1)W & : i = M + 1 \\ 0 & : otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$B_i^+ = \begin{cases} 2^{-b_i}(2^{b_i+1} - 2^{b_i}) = 1 & : 1 \leq i \leq M \\ 1 - W & : i = M + 1 \\ \lambda_i & : M + 2 \leq i \leq N \end{cases}$$

$$B_i^- = 0, i = 1, \dots, N.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\Delta\Phi(t)] &= (2N - 1)\lambda - (2N - 1)W + M + 1 - \\
&W + \sum_{i=M+2}^N \lambda_i \\
&\geq (2N - 1)\lambda + M + 1 + \frac{(N-M-1)\lambda}{N} - 2N(1 - \\
&\lambda/N)^{N-M-1} = g(M)
\end{aligned}$$

We will show that $g(M) \geq \delta > 0$ for $\lambda \geq 0.6$.

We show that $g(M) > 0$ in the interval $0 \leq M \leq N - 1$, and take δ to be the minimum of g in that interval.

$$g(0) = 2N\lambda + (1 - \lambda/N) - 2N(1 - \lambda/N)^{N-1} \geq 2N(\lambda - e^{-\lambda}) > 0 \text{ for } \lambda \geq 0.6.$$

$$g(N - 1) = 2N\lambda + N(1 - \lambda/N) - 2N > 0 \text{ for } \lambda > 1/2.$$

Show that $g''(M) < 0$ for $0 \leq M \leq N - 1$. Then $g(M) > 0$ in the interval.

Theorem 1. *The exponential backoff protocol is unstable for any $N > 2$ when the arrival rate at each station is λ/N , for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0 \approx 0.6$.*

Theorem 2. *The polynomial backoff protocol is stable for any $N > 2$, any $\alpha > 1$, and any λ_i such that $\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i < 1$.*