

# Social Networks

Small world phenomenon in a social network:

- short chains exist in the network of acquaintances.
- people are able to find these short chains knowing so little about the target individual.

Two questions:

- Why should there exist short chains of acquaintances linking together arbitrary pairs of strangers?
- Why should arbitrary pairs of strangers be able to find short chains of acquaintances that link them together?

Models which will explain both these phenomena.

# A Network Model

A directed graph:

- Nodes (individuals): a set of lattice points in a  $n \times n$  square –  $\{(i, j) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$ .
- Lattice distance between two nodes  $(i, j)$  and  $(k, l)$ :  
 $d((i, j), (k, l)) = |k - i| + |l - j|$ .
- local edges: every node  $u$  has a directed edge to every other node within lattice distance  $p$ , where  $p$  is a fixed constant.
- long-range edges: every node  $u$  has a directed edge to  $q$  other nodes chosen by independent trials: the  $i$ th directed edge from  $u$  has endpoint  $v$  with probability proportional to  $1/(d(u, v))^r$ ;  $q \geq 0$ ,  $r \geq 0$  are fixed constants.

# Decentralized algorithms

Given two arbitrary nodes  $s$  and  $t$  the goal is to transmit a message from  $s$  to  $t$  in as few steps as possible.

A decentralized algorithm - message is passed sequentially from a current message holder to one of its local or long-range contacts, using only local information.

We assume that the message holder  $u$  in a given step has knowledge of

1. the set of local contacts among all nodes.
2. the location of the target  $t$ .

The **expected delivery time**: expected number of steps taken by the algorithm to deliver the message over a network generated according to the model, from

a source to a target chosen uniformly at random from the set of nodes.

How does the network model affect the expected delivery time of a decentralized algorithm?

# Theorems

**Theorem 1.** *There is a decentralized algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  and a constant  $\alpha_2$ , independent of  $n$ , so that when  $r = 2$  and  $p = q = 1$ , the expected delivery time of  $\mathcal{A}$  is at most  $\alpha_2(\log n)^2$ .*

**Theorem 2.** (a) *Let  $0 \leq r < 2$ . There is a constant  $\alpha_r$ , depending on  $p, q, r$ , but independent of  $n$ , so that the expected delivery time of any decentralized algorithm is at least  $\alpha_r n^{(2-r)/3}$ .*

(b) *Let  $r > 2$ . There is a constant  $\alpha_r$ , depending on  $p, q, r$ , but independent of  $n$ , so that the expected delivery time of any decentralized algorithm is at least  $\alpha_r n^{(r-2)/(r-1)}$ .*

# Proof of Theorem 1

The decentralized algorithm that achieves the bound Theorem 1 is: in each step, the current message-holder  $u$  chooses a contact that is as close (with respect to the lattice distance) to the target  $t$  as possible.

The probability that a node  $u$  chooses a particular node  $v$  as its long-range contact is  $\frac{d(u,v)^{-2}}{\sum_{v \neq u} d(u,v)^{-2}}$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \neq u} d(u,v)^{-2} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{2n-2} (4j)(j^{-2}) \\ &= 4 \sum_{j=1}^{2n-2} j^{-1} \leq 4 + 4 \ln(2n-2) \leq 4 \ln(6n) \end{aligned}$$

For  $j > 0$ , we say that the execution of the algo is in phase  $j$  when the lattice distance from the current node to  $t$  is greater than  $2^j$  and at most  $2^{j+1}$ .

Suppose the algo is in phase  $j$ ,  $\log(\log n) \leq j \leq \log n$ , at node  $u$ .

This phase will end when the message enters the set  $B_j$  of nodes within lattice distance  $2^j$  of  $t$ . There are at least

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2^j} i > 2^{2j-1}$$

nodes in  $B_j$ , each of which is within lattice distance  $2^{j+1} + 2^j < 2^{j+2}$  of  $u$ .

The message enters  $B_j$  with probability at least

$$\frac{2^{2j-1}}{4 \ln(6n) 2^{2j+4}} = \frac{1}{128 \ln(6n)}$$

Let  $X_j$  denote the total number of steps spent in phase  $j$ ,  $\log(\log n) \leq j \leq \log n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_j] &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr(X_j \geq i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{128 \ln(6n)}\right)^{i-1} = 128 \ln(6n) \end{aligned}$$

If  $0 \leq j \leq \log(\log n)$ , then  $E[X_j] \leq 128 \ln(6n)$ .

Thus the total number of steps needed by the algo  
is

$$X = \sum_{j=0}^{\log n} X_j$$

Thus,  $E[X] \leq (1 + \log n)(128 \ln(6n)) \leq \alpha_2(\log n)^2$   
for a suitable  $\alpha$ .